IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PIUS C.KURIAKOSE

THURSDAY, THE 22ND MARCH 2007 / 1ST CHAITHRA 1929

RP.No. 324 of 2007(H)

THE JUDGEMENT IN WPC.6798/2007 Dated 28/02/2007

.....

PETITIONER/PETITIONER IN WPC

BOUTIQUE HOTELS INDIA LTD,
UCO BANK BUILDING, 3RD FLOOR, PARLIAMENT STREET,
NEW DELHI-110001, REPRESENTED BY THE POWER OF
ATTORNEY HOLDER, SUJITH VARGHESE,AGED 26 YEARS,
S/O.P.J.VARGHESE,RESIDING AT PERIYAPURATH HOUSE,
KANDANAD, MANAKUNNAM VILLAGE, ERNAKULAM DISTRICT.

BY ADV. SRI.M.L.SAJEEVAN

RESPONDENTS: RESPONDENTS IN WPC.

1.UDAYAMPEROOR GRAMA PANCHAYAT,
REPRESENTED BY ITS SECRETARY, UDAYAMPEROOR
PANCHAYAT OFFICE, UDAYAMPEROOR.

2.SPECIAL GRADE SECRETARY,
UDAYAMPEROOR GRAMA PANCHAYAT,
UDAYAMPEROOR.

BY ADV. SRI.PHILIP T.VARGHESE
SRI.THOMAS T.VARGHESE
SRI.ALEX M.THOMBRA

THIS REVIEW PETITION HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 22/03/2007,THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY PASSED THE FOLLOWING:

PIUS C. KURIAKOSE,J.

- -

R.P.No.324 of 2007 in W.P.(C)No.6798 of 2007

- -

Dated: 22nd March, 2007

ORDER

Adv.Mr.Philip T.Varghese enters appearance on behalf of the respondents.

2. Considering the submissions addressed before me by Mr.M.L.Sajeev, counsel for the review petitioner and Mr.Philip T.Varghese, counsel for the Panchayat, I am of the view that it is not necessary that this court considers the question whether the petitioner has any idea to fill up any paddy fileds mentioned in the Writ Petition. Accordingly, I delete those observations in the judgment which indicate that the petitioner wants to fill up paddy fields which remains to be filled up and reiterate the direction directing the respondents to consider Ext.P4, hear the petitioner and take a final decision in the matter covered by Ext.P3 notice at their earliest and at any rate within three weeks from today.

The Review Petition will stand disposed of as above.

srd **PIUS C.KURIAKOSE, JUDGE**